

Draft Papworth Everard Village Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)

Consultation Statement and Proposed Changes December 2019

1. Background

South Cambridgeshire District Council as the Local Planning Authority developed the draft SPD in collaboration with the local community and other stakeholders since autumn 2018. The Papworth Everard Village Design Guide SPD has been prepared to assist in delivering the objectives as set out in Policy HQ/1: High Quality Design of the adopted South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018 as well as other related policies.

This consultation statement has been prepared in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local Plan) (England) Regulations 2012. Regulation 12 requires that SCDC prepare a consultation statement setting out the persons consulted when preparing the SPD, a summary of the main issues raised by those persons and how these have been addressed in the SPD.

2. Preparation of the draft SPD

The District Council as the Local Planning Authority contracted specialist consultants to work constructively with the local community and other stakeholders, through a series of workshops and events, during the autumn of 2018. A project champion was nominated by the village community and a community steering group was assembled comprising community members representing different interest groups, including the parish council.

Initial workshops were held with the steering group and other members of the local community to allow the community to voice their perceptions of the character of the village, and their priorities for design guidance to be included in the draft SPD. This input and how it is reflected in the document is captured in the Community Input section of the SPD (Chapter 3).

Further workshops and review sessions were held with the community steering group on early drafts of the SPD to gain feedback on the emerging guidance and to ensure that it reflected community priorities and a consensus view.

Workshops were also held by SCDC with officers from the development management (planning) team as key users for the SPD. This has helped to shape the form and content of the draft SPD. The emerging draft SPD was further reviewed by a nominated senior officer from the development management team to comment in more detail on its structure and content from a user perspective.

The draft SPD sought to incorporate this feedback constructively and to balance the priorities and views of the village community with the requirements of a useful and robust policy document.

3. Consultation on the draft SPD

A public consultation on the draft Papworth Everard Village Design Guide SPD was held for 6 weeks between the 15th April 2019 and 31 May 2019. The proposed modifications address the issues raised by the consultation responses. Consultation on the SPD was undertaken in accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement adopted in 2010.

As the draft SPD supports the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan, there was no further need to undertake a separate Strategic Environmental Assessment or Habitats Regulations Assessment for this document, although screening reports were completed and made available during the consultation. An Equalities Impact Assessment was also completed and made available during the consultation.

The draft SPD and other supporting documents were available for inspection during the consultation period at the following locations:

- online on the Council's website;
- at South Cambridgeshire Hall, Cambourne Business Park, Cambourne, CB23 6EA; and
- at a public exhibition at the Papworth Everard Village Hall on 7 May 2019 from 3.30-8pm, when officers were on hand to answer questions.

Comments could be made using:

- the online consultation system: <https://scambs.jdi-consult.net/localplan/>; or
- by completing the consultation response form and either emailing or posting it to us at vds@scambs.gov.uk or South Cambridgeshire Hall, Cambourne Business Park, Cambourne, CB23 6EA.

The SPD consultation was advertised via a public notice in the Cambridge News on 10 April 2019, and on the Council's website and social media.

4. Consultees

A list of the organisations who were directly notified of the draft Papworth Everard Village Design Guide (SPD) in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended) via email, or post where no email address was available, can be found as Appendix A. It should be noted that other individuals were also contacted that do not appear on this list.

5. Consultation Outcome / Key Issues Raised

During the consultation, 28 representations were received, made by 18 respondents. Of the representations 21% were supports, 18% were objections, and 60% were comments.

57 people visited the public exhibition where the main topics of discussion were:

- Lack of progress on stalled sites
- Maintenance of homes and landscapes around the village
- Retaining public access to green spaces/pond within the Hospital site
- More bungalows and provision for elderly residents
- Additional cycle routes and public transport provision.

The following series of tables identifies the written representations received to each part of the SPD, summarises the main issues raised, provides a Council assessment of the issues and where necessary what proposed modifications to the SPD are indicated.

1. Introduction (including general (not chapter specific) representations)				
Representations Received	Support: 5	Object: 1	Comment: 9	Total: 15
Main issues in reps 68340	Support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It looks like a very well-considered guide which has listened to the views of planners and villages. If it is 			

67908 67901 67894 67887 67880 67873 67846 67838 67835 67834 67833 67832 67831 67847	<p>followed closely the future of Papworth should continue to be a great place to live. Thankyou to all involved.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We are really thankful for the work being done on our behalf. It's really important that any future development allows this community to grow because at the moment the village feels stagnant with lots of derelict buildings. • Varrier Jones Foundation: VJF is supportive of the Village Design Statement. It provides for a fuller understanding of the village and provides a common steer for all new development to take into account to enhance the character and quality of the village. • Cambridge Past Present and Future Support the village SPDS. Green infrastructure is important and the principle of retaining or enhancing the connectivity of habitats is incorporated. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs] • BPHA Overall bpha are supportive of the approach taken within the South Cambridgeshire Village Design Statements. Affordable housing should be addressed in the Village Design Statements. The approach taken to the appropriate materials to be used within new development is broadly supported. The Village Design Guides should strike a balance between innovation and following a rigid design approach with reference to Modern Methods of Construction. We would strongly support improvements being made to the public realm to contribute towards the viability of local service provision within village centres. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs] <p>Object</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Papworth Everard PC: Title of Chapter 8. Propose adding 'Hospital site' to read 'The Papworth Royal Hospital site' <p>Comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very good landscape led designs but the transport needs improvement. • Great start. It would be good to see the input from the Papworth Trust and Varrier Jones Foundation and their responsibility to the design would be great to see in more detail. Should connect the bridleways and paths plus more details to ensure brownfield development first. • Great start, but what is really needed is a masterplan for the village! This is really needed due to the closure of the hospital and lack of certainty or pre-planning to what happens when it closes. Can we have a delivery strategy and masterplan. • I am sure, like all Papworth residents, it would be good to have all maps consistently marked as to the actual NHS Hospital Trust boundaries, because several maps are misleadingly including leased Papworth Hall grounds etc. Rental paying villagers have noted during this year's Annual Meeting, over the years, the hidden and
--	---

	<p>sometimes overt ""turf wars"" the other 2 major land-owners has to some extent been mitigated by the presence of the hospital, so we are fearful village maintenance responsibility issues and development impasse in the future.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Forestry Commission Tree species choice needs to be considered re climate change [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs] • Natural England SPDs could consider making provision for green infrastructure, wildlife development and enhance character and local distinctiveness through green infrastructure and contact with nature. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs] • Cambridgeshire Police Secured by Design can be achieved, developers should seek advice at an early stage from the Designing Out Crime Officer. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs] • Sport England Supports the development of safe pedestrian and cycle routes through all new development, reference should be widened to refer to opportunities for all types of formal and informal sport and physical activity. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs] • Cambridgeshire LLFA welcome the consideration of using flood risk management measures to promote biodiversity in the Village Design Guide SPD. It should further promote sustainable drainage techniques (SuDS). [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]
Council's Assessment	<p>Welcome support</p> <p><u>Title of Chapter 8:</u> It is appropriate to clarify the name of this chapter to reflect the site's naming.</p> <p><u>Transport</u> – improving public transport is unfortunately outside the scope of the Village Design Statement.</p> <p><u>Varrier Jones Foundation/Papworth Trust</u> – we are pleased that the organisations have been involved in the development of the Village Design Guide and note their positive supporting representations as part of this consultation.</p> <p><u>Bridleways and paths:</u> these are addressed in chapter 6.</p> <p><u>Masterplan:</u> The Village Design Guide SPD is not a masterplan or delivery strategy. It is for the former Hospital site owner/developer to develop a delivery strategy and masterplan in consultation with the community and with reference to the design principles in Chapter 8.</p> <p><u>Papworth Hall boundaries:</u> The role of the Village Design Guide is not to show legal ownership boundaries as these are subject to</p>

	<p>change and not a design consideration.</p> <p><u>Tree species choice</u>: This is not specifically a Papworth Everard issue and therefore not required to be covered in the Village Design Guide. Policies in Chapter 4 of the SCDC 2018 Local Plan cover the impact and mitigation of climate change. The new draft Sustainable Design and Construction SPD also contains guidance on trees and climate change resilience.</p> <p><u>Green infrastructure</u>: this is covered in chapters 6, 7 and 8 specifically which promote the role of green infrastructure in sustaining and developing the character of the village</p> <p><u>Secured by Design</u>: this is not specifically a Papworth Everard issue and therefore not required to be covered in the Village Design Guide.</p> <p><u>Space for formal and informal physical activity</u>: the role of off-road routes and landscapes in new developments, in providing space for physical activity, is already mentioned in the Village Design Guide.</p> <p><u>SuDS</u>: This is not a specific issue to Papworth Everard, specific guidance on this is contained within other policies of the SCDC 2018 Local Plan, as well as in the draft Sustainable Design and Construction SPD.</p>
Proposed Modifications	Amend title of Chapter 8.

2. About Papworth Everard				
Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 0	Total: 0

3. Community Input				
Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 2	Total: 2
Main Issues in rep 67839 67836	Support - None Object - None Comment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Greater emphasis on the historic role of the village and its residents in support of the Royal Papworth Hospital would be welcomed, as this has been unique in the UK. Development which celebrates this appropriately to keep the spirit of the Village community alive following the departure of the Hospital to the New Papworth site in Cambridge. Sports facilities should be expanded where possible at an affordable price Strategy needed for vacant or derelict sites throughout the village is a welcome priority to reflect the need to 			

	<p>encourage more home-owning incomers to help retain and improve the longer-term viability of village facilities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gladman Developments: Protection of views and vistas goes beyond the typical remit of an SPD on design and should be considered in depth through either the strategic plan at district level or through a neighbourhood plan. While landscape considerations are undoubtedly important, they must be considered alongside more strategic issues such as overall levels of growth
Council's Assessment	<p><u>Hospital:</u> this is addressed in depth in Chapter 8.</p> <p><u>Sports facilities, vacant and derelict sites:</u> these issues are beyond the scope of the Village Design Guide but are captured as other priorities for the community in this chapter.</p> <p><u>Protection of views:</u> the landscape forms a key part of the character of the village and it is appropriate to provide guidance for how the relationship to the surrounding landscape should influence and guide the design of future development.</p>
Proposed Modifications	None

4. Village character				
Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 1	Comment: 0	Total: 1
Main Issues in rep 67843	<p>Support – None</p> <p>Object</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Papworth Everard PC: This section is central to the village design guide. It is referred to other parts of the guide. Therefore it must be accurate. Our objection proposed the correction of errors in the text and the addition of missing detail on the building types within the character areas of the village. <p>Comment - None</p>			
Council's Assessment	We are grateful for the detailed corrections and additional detail supplied to amplify and build on the analysis already presented in this section.			
Proposed Modifications	Additional detail and corrections to the text of the character areas analysis.			

5. Landscape-led design: views				
Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 2	Total: 2
Main Issues in rep 67840 68337	<p>Support - None</p> <p>Object - None</p> <p>Comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Varrier Jones Foundation: An amendment is recommended to remove the arrow signs from Figure 10 			

	<p>and replace with guidance: New development should respect and create views out into the countryside, or into existing green spaces, wherever possible and enhance the connection between the village and the surrounding countryside.'</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gladman Developments: Figure 10 identifies 'valued' green spaces/gaps and internal and external views. However the evidential basis for these 'valued' views is unclear. Again this potentially goes beyond the remit of a design SPD and into a matter that would be more appropriately considered through plan-making or a detailed landscape character assessment.
Council's Assessment	The 'valued' description is not a statutory designation and represents the analysis undertaken while compiling this guide and with the participation of the community. We consider that Figure 10 appropriately shows where specific views are of value to the character of the village and should therefore be taken into account in the layout and design of future development. We agree that the guidance points could clarify that existing views should be respected alongside the creation of new views.
Proposed Modifications	Amend wording to clarify the guidance with respect to how views to and from the surrounding landscape should be considered.

6. Amenity space				
Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 1	Comment: 1	Total: 2
Main Issues in rep 67844 67845	<p>Support - None</p> <p>Object</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Papworth Everard PC: Fig 12 requires the addition of a proposed pedestrian/cycle route from the roundabout at the southern end of the village, southward on the eastern side of the A1198. (Funding is available for a new pedestrian and cycle way along the A1198 between Papworth Everard and Caxton Gibbet, which will be consutrcted under the management of the County Council in due course.) <p>Comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Horse Society: The Papworth Everard VDG does not at any point mention provision for equestrians, which is unacceptable. It does not mention the inclusion of improving links from the village into the local landscape and public rights of way (PROW). The representation includes suggestions for upgrades of new routes to benefit all non-motorised users. • 			
Council's Assessment	<p><u>Pedestrian/cycle route:</u> the aspiration for this route was also raised by several attendees to the exhibition and it therefore justifies its addition to fig 12.</p> <p><u>Equestrian provision:</u> Omission of mention of equestrians is an</p>			

	oversight and will be amended.
Proposed Modifications	Amend figure 12 to include new route. Add mention of equestrians alongside pedestrians and cyclists, and suggested improvements to equestrian provision.

7. Landscape-led design: biodiversity				
Representations Received	Support: 1	Object: 0	Comment: 1	Total: 2
68338 67729	Support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Woodland Trust: We support the proposal to protect existing trees, woods and hedgerows within the village. We would like to see planting of trees considered as part of any new development in the area. Comment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Papworth Everard PC: amend 'needles' to read 'thorns' 			
Council's Assessment	Welcome support Wording amendments welcomed.			
Proposed Modifications	Amend wording as suggested.			

8. The Royal Papworth				
Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 1	Comment: 1	Total: 2
Main Issues in reps 67842 67837	Support - None Object <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Papworth Everard PC: Title should read 'The Papworth Royal Hospital Site' Comment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> While I agree with the concluding 'active frontage to the landscape' I feel that reinstatement of the original openable facades and terraces is a bit too restrictive. 			
Council's Assessment	Renaming is accepted as more appropriate. <u>Openable facades:</u> it can be clarified that openable facades should be reinstated where possible.			
Proposed Modifications	Rename chapter Clarify guidance point 3.3			

9. Design of new homes				
Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 1	Comment: 1	Total: 2
Main Issues in reps 68339 67841	Support - None Object <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Papworth Everard PC: This chapter (no. 9) on the design of buildings is a crucial in a village design guide. 			

	<p>The parish council object to the structure of the text and the weakness of the guidance given and propose amendments.</p> <p>The first paragraph in bold type gives no firm advice and by putting “Materials vary quite widely across the village...” in a primary position will give developers and their designers the impression that any materials could be successfully introduced into Papworth Everard.</p> <p>The parish council proposes moving the current second paragraph (starting “Ermine Street and...””) to the start of the text, as it gives a positive message and contains guidance.</p> <p>The parish council very much supports the guidance contained in paragraph 9.1.</p> <p>The road name ‘Chapel Lane’ in the current second paragraph and in paragraph 9.3 is incorrect and should be amended to read: ‘Church Lane’.</p> <p>Fig 21 Caption All the original wards and other buildings at Papworth Hospital were constructed of brick. Therefore, the parish council does not understand why the wording “unusual in this context for their use”... of red brick. We suggest the words quoted here are wording quoted here is deleted. If a contrast is being made between the red brick of the original buildings and the modern materials used on buildings of more recent construction, this should be made clear.</p> <p>Comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gladman Developments: Gladman welcomes the fact that while recognising distinctive styles within different parts of Papworth, the guidance does not seek to prescribe detailed requirements for any element of design, including materials or house types.
Council’s Assessment	Papworth Everard PC’s proposed amendments: we welcome the detailed feedback and the text in the guidance to this section can be amended to clarify and correct where appropriate, while balancing the aspiration to ensure that existing character is respected, without being unduly prescriptive.
Proposed Modifications	Reverse the order of guidance points 1 and 2. Clarify text to 9.3 and figure 21 caption.

6. Schedule of changes to the SPD

Contents page:

Change chapter title for chapter 8 to 'The Royal Papworth Hospital Site'

Chapter 4

Paragraph entitled ‘Ermine Street’: add the following text end of paragraph: ‘Houses of the 19th Century in the village centre are of white Gault clay brick and originally had either plain tile or slate roofs. Early 20th century houses are either of common or red brick (southern end of Ermine Street South), or of red brick with a cream painted render first floor (northern end of Ermine Street North).

Paragraph entitled 'Wood Lane': Amend the sentence that begins 'Houses were built as a mix...' as follows: "Houses were built as a mix of single storey pre-fabricated houses and two-storey semi-detached houses in white or cream painted brick (Ridgeway and Baron's Way) red brick (Wood Lane and Brookfield Road) or of red brick with a cream painted, rendered first floor (Pendragon Hill)."

Hayman's Way and Varrier-Jones: Change first sentence to read "A large late 20th century estate..."

Cheere and Hamden: Replace first sentence with the following: "Hamden Way was the first area of private housing built in the village since the arrival of the Papworth Village Settlement in 1917. It was constructed in the late 1980s and 1990s. Cheere Way and Jubilee Green, closer to Ermine Street, are of the early 21st Century and were built contemporaneously with the new village centre, predominantly of buff brick."

Chapter 5

5.1 - replace 'create views' with 'preserve and enhance existing key views, and create new views'

Fig 10: edit views to show to ensure that all views are visible from the public realm.

Chapter 6

Fig 12: add proposed pedestrian/cycle route from the roundabout at the southern end of the village, southward on the eastern side of the A1198. Amend colours as they are too similar and unclear.

Paragraphs 1 and 2: replace 'paths' with 'routes'

6.1: Add 'cycle and equestrian' after 'pedestrian'. Add 'including upgrading footpaths to bridelways and adding further safe crossings' to end of guidance point.

6.3: amend 'paths' to 'off-road routes'

Chapter 7

Amend 'needles' to 'thorns'

Chapter 8

Change chapter title to 'The Royal Papworth Hospital Site'

Correct numbering of guidance points

3.3 [to be 8.3] Add 'where possible' after 'reinstatement'

Fig 15: change title to match the above

Chapter 9

Reverse the order of paragraphs 1 and 2.

Paragraph 1 (which will become para 2) add 'Elsewhere,' to the start of the paragraph and 'but buff brick is by far the most predominant colour for masonry buildings and most distinctive of the village.' to the end of the paragraph.

9.3: Correct 'Chapel Lane' to 'Church Lane'

Fig 21: in caption, delete 'are unusual in this context for their' and replace with 'are constructed in a distinctive red brick.'

7. List of consultees

3CT (Haverhill Community Transport)
A2 Dominion Housing Group
Abbotsley Parish Council
Abellio Greater Anglia
Abington Pigotts Parish Council
Accent Nene Housing Society Limited

Advisory Council for the Education of
Gypsy and other Travellers (ACERT)
Affinity Water
Age UK Cambridgeshire
Airport Operators Association
Anglia Ruskin University - Cambridge
Campus

Anglian Water Services Limited	Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Arrington Parish Council	Cambridge Water (South Staffs Water)
Ashdon Parish Council	Cambridge Women's Resource Centre (CWRC)
Ashwell Parish Council	Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Combined Authority
Babraham Parish Council	Cambridgeshire & Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust
Balsham Parish Council	Cambridgeshire ACRE
Bar Hill Parish Council	Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Association of Local Councils
Barley Parish Council	Cambridgeshire Chamber of Commerce
Barrington Parish Council	Cambridgeshire Community Foundation
Barrington Parish Council	Cambridgeshire Constabulary
Bartlow Parish Council	Cambridgeshire County Council
Barton Parish Council	Cambridgeshire Ecumenical Council
Bassingbourn cum Kneesworth Parish Council	Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue Service
Bedford Borough Council	Cambridgeshire Football Association
Bedfordshire and River Ivel Internal Drainage Board	Cambridgeshire Local Access Forum
Bedfordshire Pilgrims Housing Association	Cambs Fire Service (Operational Support Directorate)
Bidwells	Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE)
Bluntisham Parish Council	Care Network
Bottisham Parish Council	Carlton Cum Willingham Parish Council
Bourn Parish Council	Castle Camps Parish Council
Bovis Homes (South East)	Caxton Parish Council
Boxworth Parish Council	Central Bedfordshire Council
Braintree District Council	Centre 33
Brinkley Parish Council	Chancellor, Masters and Scholars of the Univ. of Cambridge
British Gas	Childerley Parish Council
British Horse Society	Chrishall Parish Council
British Romany Union	Church Commissioners
Building Research Establishment	Circle Anglia Housing Trust
Caldecote Parish Council	Civil Aviation Authority (CAA)
Cam Valley Forum	Clarion Housing Group
Cambourne Parish Council	Comberton Parish Council
Cambridge and County Developments (formerly Cambridge Housing Society)	Confederation of British Industry - East of England
Cambridge Area Bus Users	Conington Parish Council
Cambridge Campaign for Better Transport	Conservators of the River Cam
Cambridge Council for Voluntary Service	Cottenham Parish Council
Cambridge Cycling Campaign	Country Land & Business Association
Cambridge Dial a Ride	Countryside Properties Plc
Cambridge Ethnic Community Forum	Croydon Parish Council
Cambridge Federation of Tenants Leaseholders and Residents Assoc.	DB Schenker Rail (UK)
Cambridge Forum of Disabled People	Defence Lands Ops North
Cambridge GET Group	Department for Business Innovation and Skills
Cambridge Inter-Faith Group	Department for Transport
Cambridge Past Present and Future	Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
Cambridge Peterborough and South Lincolnshire (CPSL) Mind	Design Council CAFE
Cambridge Race Equality & Diversity Service	
Cambridge Regional College	
Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	

Disability Cambridgeshire	Harlton Parish Council
Dry Drayton Parish Council	Harston Parish Council
Dunton Parish Council	Haslingfield Parish Council
Duxford Parish Council	Hastoe Housing Association
Earith Parish Council	Hatley Parish Council
East Cambridgeshire District Council	Hauxton Parish Council
Education Funding Agency	Haverhill Town Council
EE	Hazardous Installations Inspectorate
Elmdon and Wendon Lofts Parish Council	Health and Safety Executive
Elsworth Parish Council	Helions Bumpstead Parish Council
Eltisley Parish Council	Hertfordshire County Council
Ely Diocesan Board	Heydon Parish Council
Ely Group of Internal Drainage Boards	Highways England
Environment Agency	Hildersham Parish Council
EON UK plc	Hilton Parish Council
Essex County Council	Hinxton Parish Council
Everton Parish Council	Histon & Impington Parish Council
Eynesbury Hardwicke Parish Council	Historic England
Federation of Small Businesses	Holywell-cum-Needlingworth Parish Council
Fen Ditton Parish Council	Home Builders Federation
Fen Drayton Parish Council	Homes and Communities Agency
Fenland District Council	Horningsea Parish Council
Fenstanton Parish Council	Horseheath Parish Council
Fields in Trust	Hundred Houses Society Limited
Flagship Homes	Huntingdonshire Association for Community Transport (HACT)
Flagship Homes	Huntingdonshire District Council
Forest Heath District Council	Hunts Health - Local Commissioning Group
Forestry Commission England	Iceni Homes
Fowlmere Parish Council	Ickleton Parish Council
Foxton Parish Council	Institute of Directors - Eastern Branch
Freight Transport Association	IWM Duxford
Friends of the Earth	Kelshall Parish Council
Friends, Families and Travellers Community Base	Kier Partnership Homes Limited
Fulbourn Parish Council	King Street Housing Society
Gallagher Estates	Kingston Parish Council
Girton Parish Council	Knapwell Parish Council
Godmanchester Town Council	Landbeach Parish Council
Grantchester Parish Council	Linton Parish Council
Graveley Parish Council	Litlington Parish Council
Great Abington Parish Council	Little Abington Parish Council
Great and Little Chishill Parish Council	Little Shelford Parish Council
Great and Little Eversden Parish Council	Little Thurlow Parish Council
Great Bradley Parish Council	Little Wilbraham and Six Mile Bottom Parish Council
Great Chesterford Parish Council	Littlebury Parish Council
Great Gransden Parish Council	Local Nature Partnership
Great Ouse Boating Association	Lode Parish Council
Great Shelford Parish Council	Lolworth Parish Council
Great Thurlow Parish Council	Longstanton Parish Council
Great Wilbraham Parish Council	Longstowe Parish Council
Greater Cambridge Partnership	Luminus Group
Guilden Morden Parish Council	Marine Management Organisation
Haddenham Parish Council	
Hadstock Parish Council	
Hardwick Parish Council	

Marshall of Cambridge (Holdings) Limited
 Melbourn Parish Council
 Meldreth Parish Council
 MENTER
 Middle Level Commissioners
 Milton Parish Council
 National Association of Health Workers
 with Travellers
 National Grid
 National House Building Council
 National Housing Federation
 National Travellers Action Group
 Natural England
 Network Rail
 Network Regulation
 Newton Parish Council
 NHS Cambridgeshire and Peterborough
 Clinical Commissioning Group
 NHS England (Midlands & East)
 NHS Property Services Ltd (Midlands &
 East)
 North Hertfordshire District Council
 Nuthampstead Parish Council
 Oakington and Westwick Parish Council
 Office of Rail and Road
 Offord Cluny and Offord Darcy Parish
 Council
 Openreach
 Orchard Park Community Council
 Ormiston Children's and Family Trust
 Orwell Parish Council
 Over and Willingham Internal Drainage
 Board
 Over Parish Council
 Pampisford Parish Council
 Papworth Everard Parish Council
 Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
 Papworth Saint Agnes Parish Meeting
 Paradigm Housing Group
 Persimmon Homes East Midlands Limited
 Peterborough City Council
 Planning Inspectorate
 Post Office Property
 Potton Town Council
 Ramblers' Association [Cambridge Group]
 Rampton Parish Council
 Renewable UK
 Road Haulage Association
 Romany Institute
 Royal Mail
 Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
 (RSPB)
 Royston Community Transport
 Royston Town Council
 Sanctuary Housing Association

Sawston Parish Council
 Scottish and Southern Electricity Group
 Shelter
 Shingay-cum-Wendy Parish Council
 Shudy Camps Parish Council
 Skills Funding Agency
 Smithy Fen Residents Association
 South Cambridgeshire District Council
 South Cambridgeshire Youth Council
 Sport England
 St Edmundsbury Borough Council
 St Ives Town Council
 St Neots Rural Parish Council
 Stagecoach East
 Stapleford Parish Council
 Steeple Morden Parish Council
 Stow-cum-Quy Parish Council
 Strethall Parish Council
 Stretham Parish Council
 Suffolk County Council
 Sustrans (East of England)
 Swaffham Bulbeck Parish Council
 Swaffham Prior Parish Council
 Swavesey Internal Drainage Board
 Swavesey Parish Council
 Tadlow Parish Council
 Taylor Wimpey East Anglia
 Teversham Parish Council
 The Amusement Catering Equip. Society
 (ACES)
 The Association of Circus Proprietors
 The Association of Independent Showmen
 (AIS)
 The Cambridgeshire Cottage Housing
 Society
 The camToo Project
 The Crown Estate
 The Equality and Human Rights
 Commission
 The Gypsy Council (GCECWCR)
 The Kite Trust
 The Lawn Tennis Association
 The Magog Trust
 The National Trust
 The Papworth Trust
 The Showman's Guild of Great Britain
 The Society of Independent Roundabout
 Proprietors
 The Theatres Trust
 The Traveller Law Reform Project
 The Traveller Movement
 The Varrier Jones Foundation
 The Wildlife Trust
 Three
 Thriplow Parish Council

Toft Parish Council
Toseland Parish Council
Travel for Work Partnership
Traveller Solidarity Network
UK Power Networks
University of Cambridge - Vice
Chancellor's Office
Uttlesford District Council
Visit East Anglia Limited
Vodafone and O2
Waresley Parish Council
West Suffolk (Forest Heath and St
Edmundsbury Councils)
West Wratting Parish Council
Weston Colville Parish Council
Whaddon Parish Council
Whippet Coaches Limited
Whittlesford Parish Council
Wicken and Upware Parish Council
Wilburton Parish Council
Willingham Parish Council
Wimpole Parish Council
Withersfield Parish Council
Wood Plc
Woodland Trust
Wrestlingworth and Cockayne Hatley
Parish Council
Yelling Parish Council